

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,117	01/29/2004	Tarri E. Furlong	OIC0142C1US	7173
60975 CAMPBELL S	7590 03/20/2009 STEPHENSON LLP	EXAMINER		
11401 CENTU	RY OAKS TERRACE	WEST, THOMAS C		
BLDG. H, SU AUSTIN, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/769,117		FURLONG ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	THOMAS WEST	3621		

Before the rining of an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	í				
	THOMAS WEST	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
IE REPLY FILED 09 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires or. (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner hoter: flox 1 is checked, check either box (a) or, (5) ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). WONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Iterations of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee we been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension feel were 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final rejection.							
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	r than three months after the mailing dat i.	e or the final rejection, e	/en ir timely filed,				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje		ne issues for				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s). No for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pror The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 13-26.		l be entered and an e	oplanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered bu The final remains.	at does NOT place the application in	•					
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/ANDREW J. FISCHER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621	/T. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3621						